Case 1:12-cv-09350-VM-KNF Document 227 Filed 09/29/15 Page 1 of 4

GOODWIN PROCTER

Date 9/29/15 Docket and File

HON. KEVIN NATHANIEL FOX United States Magistrate Judge Southern District Magistrate York

September 17, 2015

Richard M. Strassberg 212.813.8859 /strassberg@goodwinprocter.com Coodwin Procter LLP Counselors at Law The New York Times Building 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 70018 T: 212.813.8800 F: 212.355.3333

552 18 2015

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Kevin Nathaniel Fox United States Magistrate Judge Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: Kaplan v. S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P., No. 12 Civ. 9350 (VM) (KNF)

Dear Judge Fox:

We write on behalf of Defendant Mathew Martoma in the above-referenced matter in response to Plaintiffs' letter filed earlier this afternoon, which is attached as Exhibit A. Mr. Martoma does not object to the withdrawal of Plaintiffs' deposition notice at this time. Mr. Martoma believes, however, that Plaintiffs' request for leave to depose him after the fact discovery cut-off – and the question of whether Plaintiffs should be permitted to take such a deposition under the two circumstances set forth in Plaintiffs' letter – is premature, particularly since the issue may never require resolution by the Court. Rather, the issue should be addressed, if and when it arises, on a more developed record.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard M. Strassberg

cc: All counsel (by email)

09/18/2015 08:46 FAX 212 355 3333 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 2003/005

Case 1:12-cv-09350-VM-KNF Document 227 Filed 09/29/15 Page 2 of 4

EXHIBIT A

09/18/2015 08:46 FAX 212 355 3333

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

2 004/005

Case 1:12-cv-09350-VM-KNF Document 227 Filed 09/29/15 Page 3 of 4

WOHL & FRUCHTER LLP

570 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10022

T 812 758 4000 F 212 758 4004

www wohllrughter com

ETHAN D. WONL
700 212 758 4007

would wohlfrighter.com

September 17, 2015

BY FACSIMILE

Hon. Kevin Nathaniel Fox United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Folcy Square New York, New York 10007

Re: Kaplan v. S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P., No. 12 Civ. 9350 (VM) (KNF)

Dear Judge Fox:

We write on behalf of Plaintiffs concerning the deposition of Defendant Mathew Martoma.

The above-referenced action is a securities class action asserting insider trading claims against Mr. Martoma, his former employer, Defendant CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, and various related parties.

Mr. Martoma was convicted last year of conduct related to the claims in this case, and is presently incarcerated. Mr. Martoma's appeal of his criminal conviction is pending, and his counsel have advised us that he intends to assert his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination in this action.

Discovery in this case is ongoing, the cutoff for service of discovery requests is next Monday, September 21, and the overall fact discovery cutoff is October 21.

In light of Mr. Martoma's stated intention to assert his Fifth Amendment privilege and the other discovery to date, Plaintiffs do not anticipate that a discovery deposition of Mr. Martoma will be productive. Plaintiffs have served interrogatories on Mr. Martoma, which should be sufficient to establish an adverse inference based on Mr. Martoma's anticipated assertion of his Fifth Amendment privilege in his responses. See Shams v. Fisher, 107 F. Supp. 2d 266, 270 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).

However. Plaintiffs seek leave of the Court to conduct a discovery deposition of Mr. Martoma after the fact discovery cutoff in the event that (1) his conviction becomes final prior to the trial in this matter, thereby potentially removing his ability to continue to assert his Fifth Amendment privilege, or (2) Defendants later raise arguments concerning either the sufficiency of his

Case 1:12-cv-09350-VM-KNF Document 227 Filed 09/29/15 Page 4 of 4

WOHL & FRUCHTER IIP

Hon. Kevin Nathaniel Fox September 17, 2015 Page 2

interrogatory responses to support an adverse inference or other matters which warrant conducting the deposition.

We requested that Defendants advise us of their position with respect to the foregoing request on August 26, and contacted them again earlier this week to renew this request; they have not stated a position.

Respectfully submitted,

Ethan D. Wohl

ce: All Counsel of Record (via email)

Colon Wohl